



# Safety of cornea for transplantation, the role of the Eye-Bank

M. Corneli

Florence, 22th February 2018



#### Let's think...



If I needed a cornea transplant...

would I be **comfortable** accepting a cornea distributed by Italian Eye-Banks?

## Who guarantees the safety of the cornea?

- Every transplant recipient has **safety concerns**.
- Many steps are taken to ensure the safest transplant possible.

## Who guarantees the safety of the cornea?

- Tissue safety is regulated by the Centro Nazionale Trapianti (CNT) through the "Linee guida per il prelievo, la processazione e la distribuzione di tessuti a scopo di trapianto".
- This agency routinely inspect the Eye-Banks for compliance with safety and medical standards.
- In addition, the Eye-Bank collaborates with cornea specialists.



Donor selection

• Excision of the cornea

Reception of the cornea

• Processing, evaluation and preservation of the cornea



**STEP 1** 

Distribution of the cornea

Corneal transplantation



Patient and cornea evaluation after transplantation

STEP 1

• Excision of the cornea

Donor selection

- The clinical and infectious evaluation of the donor must be carried out on the basis of the social and pathological anamnesis, the physical inspection (in particular of the ocular region) and the results of the microbiological and serological tests by the competent personnel.
- The excision of the cornea occurs in a sterile manner, following the ocular surgical procedures.

#### <u>Reception of the cornea</u>

- Processing, evaluation and preservation of the cornea
- **STEP 2** Distribution of the cornea

#### • Verification of:

- packaging;
- flacons;
- temperature (datalogger or thermometer);
- documentation.

#### Verification of:

- anamnestic suitability;
- serological suitability (serum preservation).

- Reception of the cornea
- Processing, evaluation and preservation of the cornea
- **STEP 2** Distribution of the cornea

- Processing in a sterile/controlled environment:
  - laminar flow cabinet (class "A");
  - clean room (at least class "D").

#### • Evaluation by:

- slit lamp;
- specular microscope;
- inverted microscope.
- Preservation under controlled conditions (4°C or 31°C).

- Reception of the cornea
- Processing, evaluation and preservation of the cornea
- **STEP 2** Distribution of the cornea

During the process every suitable tissue undergoes 3 microbiological tests during:

- hypothermic storage;
- after 7 days of organ culture storage;
- at the end of organ culture storage.
- Microbiological tests performed with:
  - blood culture device;
  - light scattering device.
  - Aerobic/anaerobic bacteria and fungi.

- Reception of the cornea
- Processing, evaluation and preservation of the cornea
- STEP 2 Distribution of the cornea

#### Suitability verification of:

- donor anamnesis;
- donor serological tests;
- tissue evaluation;
- tissue microbiological tests.
- Tissue and receiver matching:
  - age (average difference around 10 years);
  - pathology;
  - surgical technique (T, LK, PK, DSAEK, PDEK e DMEK).

<u>Corneal transplantation</u>

STEP 3

Patient and cornea evaluation after transplantation

The transplantation of the cornea occurs in a sterile manner, following the ocular surgical procedures.

- Microbiological tests are performed on the corneal and scleral residual tissue.
- The patient and the cornea are evaluated after transplantation in order to exclude adverse events.
- A follow-up form is filled out and sent to the Eye-Bank to give information about the fate of the cornea.

#### How to ensure the safety of the cornea?



## **Traceability and biovigilance**

- The clinical use of tissues and cells of human origin provides major benefits for recipients.
- Like any product of human origin, their use is not free of risks, which can be serious.
- A robust system is required, capable of placing, locating and identifying the cells and tissues at any point in the process, from donation to recipient, to ensure rapid intervention.
- This prevents damage or potential risk when the quality and safety of the donated tissues and cells are compromised.



#### Adversity

- Serious adverse **reaction**:
  - **unwanted reaction** on the donor or on the patient.
- Serious adverse event:
  - negative event along the 3 steps.





#### **Adversity - America**

	CLINICAL SCIENCE								
	354 930	Transplants in 7 years	)	• .•		· · · · · ·			
	494	Adverse reactions	DC AC 7 'M, id (	Ac 319 Primary graft failur					
		(0,14%)		180		EK			
	319	Primary graft failure ( <b>0,09%</b> )		135		( <b>56%</b> ) PK	-		
TA Yea 200	99	Endophthalmitis ( <b>0.03%</b> )	rom ce‡			(42%)	Ē		
200 200 201 201	66	Keratitis		4	10	ALK (2%)			
201 201 201 Tota	47,550 1 354,930	(0,02%) <u>80 17.9 49 10.</u> 494 13.9 319 9	ļ		28 35 35 165	5.9 7.3 7.3 4.6			

\*Number of corneal grafts performed in the United States from 2009 to 2014. Data for 2007 and 2008 include procedures performed both domestically and internationally. †Total ARs is inclusive of cases reported with PGF (n = 319), endophthalmitis and keratitis (n = 165), scleral graft infection (n = 1), donor corneal dystrophy or degeneration (n = 2), donor corneal refractive surgery (n = 2), donor-to-host transmission of systemic infection (n = 3), initis (n = 1), and residual stromal edema posttransplant (n = 1).

‡Per 10,000 grafts.

AR, adverse reaction.



# **Adversity - America**

					occuare	, ype	
	2007	165	Endophthalmitis	.013	2014	Total	Incidence*
Endophthalmitis		100	Endoprinaininis				_
All cases	5		Keratitis	26	16	99	2.8
Fungal cases	2			16	9	49	1.4
Keratitis							
All cases	3			9	19	66	1.8
Fungal cases	2	104	EK	4	13	34	0.9
All infections				-			
All cases	8		(63%)	35	35	165	4.6
Fungal cases	4		, ,	20	22	83	2.3
EK grafts†	14,159			1,987	25,965	164,563	
EK-related infections	2	FC	DK	24	28	104	6.3
Fungal cases	2	50	РК	17	18	57	4.1
PK grafts† 34,806			(240/)	),954	19,294	195,859	
PK-related infections 6			(3470)	11	7	56	2.8
Fungal cases	2			3	4	24	1.2
ALK grafts†	950			951	914	7517	
ALK-related infections 0		2	ΔΙΚ	0	0	2	2.7
Fungal cases	0	3		0	0	2	2.7
KPro†	_		(2%)	223	260	1615	
KPro-related infections	0		(270)	0	0	2	12.4
Fungal cases	0			0	0	0	0
Scleral graft-related infections 0				0	0	1	
Fungal cases 0		2	Keratoprosthesis	0	0	0	—
EK includes descemet stripping E *Per 10,000 grafts. †Number of corneal grafts perfor DMEK, descemet membrane EK.	EK. med in the Unit		(1%)	s perfor	ned both dom	estically and in	ternationally.

## **Adversity - America**

**TABLE 3.** Spectrum of Organisms Isolated in EndophthalmitisCases 2007 to 2014\*

Genus of Isolate	Number (% of Culture-Positive Cases)	Species	Number (% of Culture-Positive Cases)	
Fungus/yeast	53 (65)	Candida species	53 (65)	
Gram positive	27 (33)	Enterococcus species	11 (13)	
		Streptococcus species	9 (11)	
		Staphylococcus species	4 (5)	
		Clostridium perfringens	2 (2)	
		Gram-positive cocci	1 (1)	
		Hemophilus influenza	1 (1)	
Gram negative	2 (2)	Achromobacter species	1 (1)	
		Escherichia coli species	1 (1)	
	Total = 82 isolates		Total = 82 isolates	

\*Of the 99 endophthalmitis cases, culture was positive in 77 cases, no growth observed in 10 cases, culture not performed in 10 cases, and unable to obtain follow-up information from surgeon in 2 cases.

#### **TABLE 4.** Spectrum of Organisms Isolated in InfectiousKeratitis Cases, 2007 to 2014\*

Genus of Isolate	Number (% of Culture-Positive Cases)	Species	Number (% of Culture-Positive Cases)
Fungus/yeast	34 (81)	Candida species	34 (81)
Herpes virus	3 (7)	Herpes simplex virus	3 (7)
Gram positive	2 (5)	Mycobacterium chelonae	1 (2)
		Staphylococcus species	1 (2)
Gram negative	3 (7)	Achromobacter species	1 (2)
		Escherichia coli	1 (2)
		Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1 (2)
	Total = 42 isolates		Total = 42 isolates

\*Of the 66 infectious keratitis cases, culture was positive in 42 cases, not performed in 15 cases, no growth observed in 8 cases, and unable to obtain follow-up information from surgeon in 1 case.

#### **Adversity - Europe (SA-Reaction)**



#### **Adversity - Europe (SA-Event)**





# **Adversity - Italy**

ΤΥΡΕ	N°	STEP	DESCRIPTION
Adverse event	2	Transportation	Spilling of the transporting medium
Adverse event	1	Processing	Incorrect labeling during internal process
Adverse event	1	Verification	Incongruent serological tests between classical and NAT methods
Adverse reaction	1	Transplantation	Primary graft failure (EK)





## Let's answer...

